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Basic accelerator concepts

- **Injection and filling** of the machine
- **Beam collimation**
- **Collimation**
- **RF**
- **Beam 1**
- **Beam 2**
- **Interaction point(s)**
- **Keep circulation in constant orbit during hours or days**

**Toy Accelerator**
Newton-Lorentz force describes the interaction of charged particles with electro-magnetic fields:

\[ \mathbf{F} = \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{dt} = e(\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) \]

**Transverse Motion**
Perpendicular to the direction of motion.
Used to keep circulating orbit and beam steering.

**Longitudinal Motion**
Parallel to the direction of motion.
Used to accelerate charged particles.
Acceleration

Acceleration has to be done by an electric field in the direction of the motion.

Apply an E-field which is reversed while the particle travels inside the tube.

Build the acceleration with one or more series of drift tubes with gaps in between them.
**Transverse Motion: trajectory**

In order to keep circular trajectory, Lorentz force should compensate the centrifugal force.

\[
F_L = evB \quad F_c = \frac{mv^2}{\rho}
\]

\[
\frac{mv^2}{\rho} = evB \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{p}{e} = \rho B \quad \Rightarrow \quad 0.3B[T] \approx \frac{p[GeV/c]}{\rho[m]}
\]

Because particles need to follow a circulate trajectory, the magnetic field should increase proportionally to the particles momentum.

\[\rho \approx 2.8 \text{ Km} \approx \frac{0.65 \times 26.7 \text{ Km}}{2\pi}\]

\[B[T] \approx \frac{7000 \text{ GeV/c}}{0.3 \times 2.8 \text{ Km}} = 8.33 T\]

LHC Nominal dipole field 8.33 T
Transverse Motion: trajectory
Transverse Motion: trajectory
16 Radiofrequency cavities at 400 MHz
1232 Superconductive Nb-Ti magnets at 1.9 K, generating a magnetic field of 8.33 T
Proton-proton collision at 14 TeV until 2040
The Future Circular Collider (FCC)

FCC Nominal dipole field (Nb$_3$Sn) 16.11 T

\[
B[T] \approx \frac{50000 \text{ GeV/c}}{0.3 \times 10.4 \text{ Km}} = 16.11 \text{T} \quad \rho \approx 10.4 \text{ Km} \approx \frac{0.65 \times 100 \text{ Km}}{2\pi}
\]
The 2013 Update of the European Strategy for Particle Physics (ESPPU) [1] stated, inter alia, that “... Europe needs to be in a position to propose an ambitious post-LHC accelerator project at CERN by the time of the next Strategy update” and that “CERN should undertake design studies for accelerator projects in a global context, with emphasis on proton-proton and electron-positron high-energy frontier machines. These design studies should be coupled to a vigorous accelerator R&D programme, including high-field magnets and high-gradient accelerating structures, in collaboration with national institutes, laboratories and universities worldwide”.

In response to this recommendation, the Future Circular Collider (FCC) study was launched [2] as a world-wide international collaboration under the auspices of the European Committee for Future Accelerators (ECFA). The FCC study was mandated to deliver a Conceptual Design Report (CDR) in time for the following update of the European Strategy for Particle Physics.

European studies of post-LHC circular energy-frontier accelerators at CERN had actually started a few years earlier, in 2010–2013, for both hadron [3–5] and lepton colliders [6–8], at the time called HE-LHC/VHE-LHC and LEP3/DLEP/TLEP, respectively. In response to the 2013 ESPPU, in early 2014 these efforts were combined and expanded into the FCC study.

The 2013 ESPPU recognised the importance of electron-positron colliders for the precise measurement of the properties of the Higgs boson. Since its inception, the international FCC collaboration has worked on delivering the conceptual design for a staged $e^+e^-$ collider (FCC-ee) that would allow detailed studies of the heaviest known particles (Z, W and H bosons and the top quark) and offer great direct and indirect sensitivity to new physics.

Five years of intense work and a steadily growing international collaboration have resulted in the present Conceptual Design Report, consisting of four volumes covering the physics opportunities, technical challenges, cost and schedule of several different circular colliders, some of which could be part of an integrated programme extending until the end of the 21st century.
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Accelerating electrons (positrons)

Energy loss by synchrotron radiation of charged particles bent by a magnetic field

\[ \Delta E \approx \left( \frac{E}{m} \right)^4 \times \frac{1}{R} \]

Electron mass \( m_e \): 0.5 MeV

Proton mass \( \sim 2000 \ m_e \)

Muon mass \( \sim 200 \ m_e \)

Energy loss reduced by a factor

\[
\left( \frac{1}{2000} \right)^4 \approx 6 \cdot 10^{-14}
\]

\[
\left( \frac{1}{200} \right)^4 \approx 6 \cdot 10^{-10}
\]

2.75 GeV/turn lost at LEP for \( E = 105 \) GeV
Linear $e^+e^-$ collider

International Linear Collider (ILC): Japan (Kitakami)

$e^+e^-$, $\sqrt{s}$: 250 – 500 GeV (1 TeV)
Length: 17 km, 31 km (50 km)

ILC accelerator unit:
9 cells niobium cavities oscillating at 1.3 GHz with an average accelerating gradient of 31.5 MV/m
International linear collider (ILC)

ILC colliding e+e- at 500 GeV, main Linac accelerates electrons (positrons) from 15 GeV to 250 GeV:

\[
2 \times \frac{235[GeV]}{31.5[MeV/m]} \approx 15 \text{ Km} \quad \times 2 \\
\frac{100[TeV]}{31.5[MeV/m]} > 3000 \text{ Km}
\]

ILC at 500 GeV is 31 Km long

we cannot have a linear proton-proton collider
Linear vs. circular e^+e^- colliders

The collider luminosity is the proportionality factor between the number of events per second and the cross section

\[ \frac{dN}{dt} = \mathcal{L} \sigma \]

Given by physics

Given by the machine
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Possible scenarios of future colliders

- **Proton collider**
- **Electron collider**
- **Electron-Proton collider**

---

**ILC: 250 GeV**
- 2 ab$^{-1}$
- 4 years
- 20km tunnel

**CepC: 90/160/240 GeV**
- 16/2.6/5.6 ab$^{-1}$
- 31km tunnel

**CLIC: 380 GeV**
- 1.5 ab$^{-1}$
- 5 years
- 11 km tunnel

**SppC: ≈ FCC-hh**
- 1.5 TeV
- 2.5 ab$^{-1}$
- 40 km tunnel

**FCC-ee: 350-365 GeV**
- 1.7 ab$^{-1}$
- 8 years
- 100km tunnel

**FCC hh: 150 TeV ≈ 20-30 ab$^{-1}$**
- 100 TeV
- 20-30 ab$^{-1}$

**FCC hh: 100 TeV 20-30 ab$^{-1}$**

**HL-LHC: 13 TeV**
- 3-4 ab$^{-1}$
- 2 years
- 1.7 B/6 year

**HE-LHC: 27 TeV**
- 10 ab$^{-1}$
- 2040

**LHeC: 1.2 TeV**
- 0.25-1 ab$^{-1}$
- 2050

**FCC eh: 3.5 TeV**
- 2 ab$^{-1}$
- 2060

---

**Construction/Transformation: heights of box construction cost/year**

**Preparation**

---

Fine degli esperimenti ad LHC
How far can it go?
Colliding muons?

- Muon mass $\sim 200 \text{ m}_e \rightarrow \text{no synchrotron radiation}$ in circular acceleration: possible to accelerate muons at higher energies in circular colliders.

- All beam energy available in collision $\rightarrow$ a 14 TeV muon collider would be able to collide elementary particles at energies similar to the ones of a 100 TeV proton collider.

- A 14 TeV muon collider can be housed in the 27 Km LHC tunnel $\rightarrow \text{no need to drill half Europe!}$
Where are the muons?

Everything starts from an hydrogen source...

...but there is no muon source

Mock-up of Dioplasmatron source
In the LEMMA scheme 45 GeV positrons annihilate with the electrons of a beryllium target: a beam of muons and antimuons with collimated energy and emission angle can be obtained.
The particle sea...

A selection of particles listed by the particle data group.

How can we tell them apart in our detector ?!
A particle detector is an (almost) irreducible representation of the properties of these particles.

The particle sea...

Out of ~400 particles only ~20 have a $c\tau > 500 \mu m$

by far the most relevant are:

$e^+, \mu^+, \gamma, \pi^+, k^+, K^0_s, K^0_L, p^+, n$
Dual read-out calorimetry

Calorimeters are particle detectors used to reconstruct particle energies by means of total absorption.

Showers induced by hadrons are made of two components:

**Em component:** electrons, positrons and photons (from $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ decays).

**Non-em component:** charged hadrons, neutrons, invisible energy.

Reconstructed energy from 100 GeV pions
Dual read-out calorimeters
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HEP before the LHC

Higgs

SUSY, etc.

LHC
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HEP after the LHC
Backup
Plasma Wakefield

What is a plasma?

Example: Single ionized rubidium plasma

• Plasma wave/wake excited by relativistic particle bunch
• Plasma e⁻ are expelled by space charge force
• Plasma e⁻ rush back on axis

Plasma wavelength ~1 mm

Driver beam
Plasma Wakefield Acceleration (PWFA)

How strong can the fields be? \[ E_{WB} = 96 \frac{V}{m} \sqrt{\frac{n_{pe}}{cm^{-3}}} \]

Example: \( n_{pe} = 7 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-3} \) (AWAKE) \( \Rightarrow E_{WB} = 2.5 \text{ GV/m} \)
Example: \( n_{pe} = 7 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3} \) \( \Rightarrow E_{WB} = 80 \text{ GV/m} \)
AWAKE has demonstrated during Run 1 (2016-2018) that electrons can be accelerated to 2 GeV in 10 m using the CERN SPS 400 GeV proton beams.