Micrometer-scale integrated silicon source of time-energy entangled photons
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1. INTRODUCTION

Photonics is increasingly seen as an attractive platform for quantum information processing [1–4]. In quantum cryptography [2,5] photons have several advantages as vectors of information, due to their long coherence times at room temperature and the possibility of being transmitted over the existing optical fiber infrastructure. The potential scalability and integrability of photonics also suggests its application in quantum simulation and computing [6–9]. The most common strategy for producing entangled photon pairs at room temperature is the use of the parametric fluorescence that can occur in a nonlinear crystal [10–12]. While having high generation rates, these sources are very difficult to integrate. An ideal integrated source of entangled photons should be CMOS compatible for cost-effective and reliable production, easily interfaced with fiber networks for long-range transmission in the telecom band, and take up little “real estate” on the chip. For such sources the main results have been obtained by exploiting third-order nonlinearities in silicon, in studies that have been focused on the generation of qubits based on polarization entangled photon pairs [13,14] or entangled time-bins [15,16] in long waveguiding structures. However, these devices require lengths ranging from fractions of a millimeter to centimeters to produce an appreciable photon pair flux, hindering their scalability.

Another kind of quantum correlation of photon pairs is time-energy entanglement. This is arguably the most suitable...
format for the entanglement of photons, as it can be easily manipulated in integrated optical circuits [8], and it can be preserved over long distances in the fiber optical networks [17,18] needed for communication between devices. Very recently, it has been shown that the use of time-energy entangled photon pairs in quantum key distribution can enable a higher key generation rate compared to entangled photon pairs in lower-dimensional Hilbert spaces [19].

In this work we demonstrate that silicon ring resonators in a silicon-on-insulator platform are an efficient source of time-energy entangled photon pairs. Large field enhancements can be obtained in resonant structures [20,21], and ring resonators in particular [22,23]. Combined with the large effective nonlinearities achievable in silicon ridge waveguides, of which they are made, this allows the reduction of the emitter’s footprint by orders of magnitude over other sources. There is then a drastic improvement of the wavelength conversion efficiency, together with the spectral properties of the emitted pairs, with respect to silicon waveguide sources.

2. SAMPLE STRUCTURE AND TRANSMISSION SPECTRA

The sample geometry is illustrated in Fig. 1(a): the device is a ring resonator with a radius of 10 μm, evanescently coupled to a straight silicon waveguide on one side of the ring; both the ring and the waveguide have transverse dimensions of 500 nm (width) and 220 nm (height) and are etched on a silicon-on-insulator wafer. The gap between the ring and the waveguide is 150 nm. The coupling of light onto and off the chip is implemented by mode field converters, and the emission is extracted through a tapered optical fiber. A tunable continuous wave laser is used for characterizing the sample, and as a pump for the nonlinear optical experiments (see Supplement 1). While such ring resonators would act as all-pass devices in the absence of scattering losses, they are somewhat akin to integrated Fabry–Perot cavities in that the modes of the electromagnetic field are identified by a comb of resonances. The transmission spectrum from our sample is shown in Fig. 1(b), where the dips occur due to scattering losses at the resonances. The free spectral range is about 9 nm, and the resonance quality factors (Qs) are, on average, around 15,000. The minimum transmission is about 3%–5% on resonance, meaning that the ring almost satisfies the critical coupling condition, which maximizes the coupling between the ring and the bus waveguide.

3. NONLINEAR SPECTROSCOPY AND COINCIDENCE MEASUREMENTS

The nonlinear process responsible for the generation of photon pairs is spontaneous four-wave mixing (SFWM) [23–27]: two pump photons at frequency ωp are converted into signal and idler photons at frequencies ωs and ωi [as sketched in the inset of Fig. 1(b)]. When using resonant structures, energy conservation implies three equally spaced resonances in energy (ℏωs + ℏωi = 2ℏωp). Another advantage in using ring resonators is that the process is greatly amplified by the resonance, and it has been shown [28] that the generation rate goes as

\[ R \propto Q^3 P^2 r^{-2}, \]

where Q is the quality factor of the resonances, r is the ring’s radius, and P is the pump power. In our ring resonators, waveguide dispersion limits the bandwidth over which pairs can be generated for a fixed pump wavelength to a spectral range of about 80 nm, resulting in a plentiful choice of possible signal and idler pairs. We have also verified that the generation rate is almost the same for all resonances up to the fourth neighboring resonances from the pump [28]. Thus the pump can generate a number of entangled signal and idler pairs in parallel, with each entangled pair easily separated from the others because of their frequencies. In this work we study only one pair, as highlighted by colors in Fig. 1(b): we use a resonance around 1550 nm (at the center
of the telecommunication c-band) for the pump and its second nearest neighbor resonances for the signal and idler; this spectral distance is chosen to optimize filtering of the laser background noise at the signal and idler frequencies.

FWM spectra are shown in Fig. 2(a): two clear peaks of generated photons are evident at the signal and idler frequencies. It is important to notice that the pump laser is completely filtered out, so that only spontaneously generated photons are detected. The parametric nature of the emission process is confirmed by the superlinear increase of the generation rate with increasing pumping powers: the quadratic behavior of the generated beams is reported in Fig. 2(b), where we plot the estimated generation rate of photon pairs inside the ring resonator together with the output rate [28]. The output rate was directly measured at the sample output, as detailed in Supplement 1. The internal generation rate was estimated in the following way: we have directly measured a total insertion loss of 7 dB for the sample. Due to the sample symmetry, we assume propagation and coupling losses from the ring resonator to the output fiber to be 3.5 dB. The internal generation rate is then estimated from the flux measured at the output by subtracting the 3.5 dB.

The generation rate can exceed $10^7$ Hz; this is an extremely high rate, and will be beneficial for all experiments involving coincidence counting. The first step necessary to verify entanglement is to check that signal and idler photons are emitted in pairs: this was assessed via a coincidence experiment, in which the relative times of arrival of idler and signal photons were statistically analyzed [26]. The coincidence measurement shown in Fig. 2(c) is obtained employing the setup described in Fig. 3(a) (detailed in Figure S1 of Supplement 1) by masking the short arm of each interferometer. The total losses undergone by the signal and idler in the coincidence experiment are 31 and 34 dB, respectively.

An instance of a histogram of the arrival times is shown in Fig. 2(c), where a distinct coincidence peak is visible over a small background of accidental counts; this is a clear signature of the concurrent emission of the signal–idler pairs. The 3.5 ns offset is determined by the different path lengths of the signal and idler photons. The coincidence measurements, for experimental consistency, were taken using the same setup used to measure the entanglement as described below, by masking one arm in each interferometer. The losses from the setup were directly measured for each component, and amount to 31 dB for signal photons and 34 dB for idler photons, giving a total loss of 64 dB on the coincidence rate. Almost all of these losses, as discussed in Supplement 1, are outside the source and are mainly given by the interferometers and the low quantum efficiency of the detectors used in these experiments.

Accidental counts are primarily due to emitted pairs of which only one photon is detected. The accidents in the coincidence curve mainly come from the detection of signal and idler photons belonging to different pairs. As all emission times are equivalent, the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) is also an indication of how likely it is for multiple pairs to be generated at the same time [29]. In our case the SNR is about 65 in Fig. 2(c), and higher than 100 in some of the measurements (see Supplement 1).

4. ENTANGLEMENT TEST ON THE EMITTED PHOTON PAIRS

The photon pairs are emitted simultaneously, but, because of the continuous wave pumping, the emission time is indeterminate to within the coherence time of the pump laser; this is several microseconds in our experiments. This systematic lack of information can lead to the pairs being time-energy entangled, as first pointed out by Franson [30]. In order to
experimentally measure the entanglement we have used a double interferometer\([30,31]\), as shown in Fig. 3(a). Photons at idler frequencies enter one interferometer, while photons at signal frequencies enter the other [Fig. 3(a)]. The unbalanced \(\Delta T\) between the two arms of the interferometers must be much greater than the coherence time \(\tau\) of the signal and idler photons to avoid first-order interference. In our case \(\Delta T \approx 0.67\) ns while \(\tau \approx 10\) ps (\(\tau\) was extracted from the linewidth of the modes).

The absence of interference in each single interferometer was verified by varying the path differences independently in each of the interferometers and confirming that there was no change in the counting rate detected by the superconducting single-photon detectors (SSPDs), as shown in the inset of Fig. 3(b). Then with the interferometer arms fixed [32] we measured the arrival time of idler photons with respect to signal photons [Fig. 3(b)]; the generated histograms reveal three relative arrival times. The earliest peak is due to the signal photon having taken the long path in the interferometer and the idler photon having taken the short path; the reverse holds for the latest peak. The middle peak is due to two indistinguishable paths, both photons taking the long path or both taking the short path. The inability to distinguish from which of these two cases the coincidence event arises, due to the long coherence time of the pump, causes second-order interference [30]. The coincidence rate for the central peak is expected to be

---

Fig. 3. Correlations at the output of a double interferometer. (a) Sketch of the signal and idler Michelson interferometers. The arm length difference of the two interferometers is the same to well within the coherence length of the generated photons (Supplement 1). The movable mirrors on the short arms are connected to a piezo actuator and are used to control the relative phase between the short and long arms. At the outputs of the interferometers are two superconducting single-photon detectors (SSPDs). (b) Instance of coincidence histogram measured at the output of the interferometers, taken for a coupled pump power of 1.5 mW. The integration time is 120 s. The error bars indicate the error on the counts. The inset shows the absolute intensity at the output of each interferometer while varying the respective phase: the complete absence of interference confirms that the arm length difference is much larger than the coherence time on the generated photons.

Fig. 4. Entanglement between signal and idler photons. (a)–(d) Histograms of the coincidence rate for four different phase settings. (e) Two-photon interference of the double interferometer configuration: the coincidence count rate of the central peak is plotted as a function of the phase \(\phi_s + \phi_i\). The integration time is 120 s for each point, and the pump power is 1.5 mW. The dotted black curve is a best fit of the experimental data.
\[ C(\varphi) = 2C_0(1 + \cos(\varphi + \theta)), \]  

where \( C_0 \) is the detected coincidence rate measured by covering one arm in each interferometer. Since signal and idler photons propagate in the same direction once they exit the sample, the phase term \( \varphi \) in the above expression is given by the sum of the phases acquired by the photons passing through the long arms with respect to the short ones, \( \varphi = \varphi_S + \varphi_I \), and \( \theta \) is a constant phase term dependent on the unknown actual lengths of the interferometer arms.

The effect of varying \( \varphi \) is shown in Fig. 4; the full experimental dataset is shown in Figs. S4 and S5 of Supplement 1. While the side peaks, corresponding to distinguishable events, have heights that are independent of \( \varphi \), the number of coincidence counts of the central peak oscillates between minima, close to zero events, and a maximum, close to four times the height of the side peaks, as shown in Figs. 4(a)–4(d). The height of the central peak as a function of \( \varphi \) is summarized in Fig. 4(e). The trend is well fitted by a sinusoid curve of the type of Eq. (1). For the raw data of Fig. 4(e), the best fit yields a visibility \( V_{\text{Meas}} = 89.3\% \pm 2.6\% \) (greater than 1/\( \sqrt{2} \)), proving a violation of Bell’s inequality by 7.1 standard deviations, and so we can conclude that we are generating time-energy entangled photon pairs [33].

5. DISCUSSION

The experiment was performed for various pumping powers \( P \) (see Fig. S6 of Supplement 1 for the data), and the results are summarized in Table 1. Bell’s inequality is violated in all cases, and by more than 11 standard deviations in the best case. The visibility is limited by the background due to emission of multiple couples and possibly other parasitic luminescent processes, such as FWM and Raman scattering in the access waveguide and in the optical fibers in the setup. The SNR, as expected, decreases with increasing pumping power, but it is always sufficiently high to lead to entanglement. It is worth noticing that the values of the measured visibility \( V_{\text{Meas}} \) reported in the table are obtained by a single fit operation on the raw data without performing any data correction, e.g., without subtracting the dark counts of the detectors. Finally, the maximum measurable visibility is limited by the first-order visibility of the interferometers, in our setup \( w = 0.95 \), which gives the expected visibility \( V = V_{\text{Meas}}/w \) (see the last column of Table 1).

In conclusion, we have experimentally demonstrated a microstructured, CMOS-compatible source of entangled photons, operating at room temperature with unprecedented capabilities. While ring resonators have long been studied theoretically as a source of quantum correlated states, and pairs of photons emitted from spontaneous FWM in silicon ring resonators have been detected, with this work the oft-quoted promise that these devices could serve as sources of entangled photons has finally been fulfilled. We confirmed the violation of Bell’s inequality by more than seven standard deviations, and we demonstrated the generation of time-energy entangled photon pairs particularly relevant for telecommunication applications. The source has incomparable operating characteristics. Beyond the high purity of the emitted two-photon states, the spectral brightness per coupled pump power is remarkable, at about 6 \( \times \) 10^7 \( \text{nm}^{-1} \text{mW}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \). This is more than four orders of magnitude larger than that reported for entangled photon pairs emitted by long silicon waveguides [13,15,23]. Even when compared to room temperature sources of entangled photons based on \( \chi^2 \) nonlinearities, which are typically not CMOS compatible, the emission rate reported here is remarkable. It is two orders of magnitude larger than that obtained from GaAs-based waveguides [34] for 1 mW of coupled pumping power and, for the given bandwidth, is of the same order of magnitude as the emission rate of centimeter-long waveguides in periodically poled crystals [11], (see Table 2), while having a footprint of a few hundred square micrometers. This small footprint has great advantages for scalability: all the existing know-how of integrated photonics can be directly applied with our source, and its micrometric size makes it ideal for integration with other devices on the same chip, such as integrated filters for the pump and the routing of signal and idler, for what integration strategies are well established. In particular, with quantum cryptography protocols in mind, one perspective for this work would be to take advantage of the silicon photonics industrial know-how to integrate the pump filtering and signal/idler demultiplexing stages on a single “transmitter” chip [35] and implement two “receiver” chips with integrated interferometers. Considering the receiving chips, the coherence time of the signal and idler photons in this work corresponds to a coherence length of about 1 mm in a silicon waveguide, and thus an arm unbalance of some centimeters would be needed in the interferometers; this can be easily achieved on chip using spiraled waveguides. The main problem hindering this goal is, for the moment, the unavailability of single-photon counters for the telecom band working at room temperature and integrated on a silicon chip.

**Table 1. Violation of Bell Inequalities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( P ) (mW)</th>
<th>( R ) (MHz)</th>
<th>SNR</th>
<th>( V_{\text{Meas}} ) (%)</th>
<th>( V_{\text{Meas}} - V_{\text{th}} )</th>
<th>( V ) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.25 ± 0.025</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.11</td>
<td>131.6 ± 16.5</td>
<td>94.8 ± 3.8</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>99.8 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>120.4 ± 7.9</td>
<td>88.2 ± 4.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>92.8 ± 5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>5.8 ± 0.8</td>
<td>64.4 ± 3.3</td>
<td>91.8 ± 1.9</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>96.0 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 ± 0.15</td>
<td>14 ± 1.9</td>
<td>45.1 ± 2.2</td>
<td>89.3 ± 2.6</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>94.0 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>27 ± 3.1</td>
<td>22.9 ± 1.0</td>
<td>83.8 ± 3.2</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>88.2 ± 3.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Summary of the measured parameters for five values of the coupled pump power \( P \), pair emission rate; SNR, signal-to-noise ratio; \( V_{\text{Meas}} \), visibility of the two-photon interference extracted from the experimental raw data; \( (V_{\text{Meas}} - 1/\sqrt{2})/\sigma_{V_{\text{Meas}}} \), number of standard deviation by which Bell’s inequality is violated. Finally, the visibility \( V \) is \( V_{\text{Meas}} \) corrected for the limited visibility \( w = 0.95 \) of the interferometers: \( V = V_{\text{Meas}}/w \).
A further advantage of the source reported here is that ring resonators are also a well-established industrial standard, already used in modulators. Here we have demonstrated a new, compelling functionality of ring resonators: they can be used as sources of entangled states of light. Immediate applications should follow, especially because their production readiness gives them advantages even over structures characterized by larger nonlinearities, but with less mature integration technologies [21]. The signal and idler beams have a bandwidth of \( \sim 13 \) GHz, which would allow their use in DWDM network systems without the need for any spectral filtering: the pump powers used here, on the order of dBm, are characteristic of those used in fiber networks; and the pump, signal, and idler frequencies lie in the telecommunications band. We can confidently expect that silicon microring resonators will become the dominant paradigm of correlated photon sources for quantum photonics, both for applications involving the transmission of quantum correlations over long distances, such as quantum cryptography, and for applications involving quantum information processing “on-a-chip.”
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### Table 2. Comparison between Room Temperature, Integrated Entangled Photon Sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Device Area ((\mu\text{m}^2))</th>
<th>SNR</th>
<th>Spectral Brightness ((P = 1 \text{ mW}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[11]</td>
<td>Waveguide</td>
<td>PPLN</td>
<td>(\sim 180000)</td>
<td>(\sim 6)</td>
<td>(\sim 7 \times 10^4) ((\text{s}^{-1} \text{ nm}^{-1}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[14]</td>
<td>Waveguide</td>
<td>AlGaAs</td>
<td>(\sim 10000)</td>
<td>(\sim 7)</td>
<td>(\sim 6 \times 10^4) ((\text{s}^{-1} \text{ nm}^{-1}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[15]</td>
<td>Waveguide</td>
<td>Si</td>
<td>(\sim 5000)</td>
<td>(\sim 30)</td>
<td>(\sim 4 \times 10^3) ((\text{s}^{-1} \text{ nm}^{-1}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[16]</td>
<td>CROW</td>
<td>Si</td>
<td>(\sim 8000)</td>
<td>(\sim 8)</td>
<td>(\sim 3 \times 10^3) ((\text{s}^{-1} \text{ nm}^{-1}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present work</td>
<td>(\mu\text{ Ring})</td>
<td>Si</td>
<td>(\sim 300)</td>
<td>(\sim 64)</td>
<td>(\sim 6 \times 10^3) ((\text{s}^{-1} \text{ nm}^{-1}))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The values of spectral brightness refer to the coupled pump power and the internal generation rate.*

*The SNR is inferred from the HOM experiment reported in the article.*

*The SNR is calculated from the experimental value of the fidelity reported in the article.*


