Cooperativity of a few quantum emitters in a single-mode cavity
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We theoretically investigate the emission properties of a single-mode cavity coupled to a mesoscopic number of incoherently pumped quantum emitters. We propose an operational measure for the medium cooperativity, valid both in the bad- and in the good-cavity regimes. We show that the opposite regimes of subradiance and super-radiance correspond to negative and positive cooperativity, respectively. The lasing regime is shown to be characterized by non-negative cooperativity. In the bad-cavity regime we show that the cooperativity defines the transitions from subradiance to super-radiance. In the good-cavity regime it helps to define the lasing threshold, also providing distinguishable signatures indicating the lasing regime. Increasing the quality factor of the cavity mode induces a crossover from the solely super-radiant to the lasing regime. Furthermore, we verify that lasing is manifested in a wide range of positive cooperative behavior, showing that stimulated emission and super-radiance can coexist. The robustness of the cooperativity is studied with respect to experimental imperfections, such as inhomogeneous broadening and pure dephasing.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The optical properties of $N$ emitters interacting with the same electromagnetic environment are drastically different from those of $N$ independent emitters, each interacting with its own reservoir. Signatures of cooperative behavior in the spontaneous emission of an atomic ensemble were first discussed in the context of the celebrated super-radiance decay [1], where constructive interference of the atomic dipoles leads to enhanced relaxation of the atomic population and to the emission of an intense and delayed pulse of light [2].

More recently, the steady-state properties of the light field emitted by such a medium have been theoretically investigated, assuming continuous incoherent pumping, with regard to both its spectral [3,4] and statistical characteristics [5–7]. Subradiant and super-radiant regimes have been defined and identified, respectively corresponding to the emission of less or more light than in the independent-emitters case. In these works, a common electromagnetic environment for the quantum emitters is provided by a leaky cavity, which acts as a collective decay channel. Naturally, increasing the quality factor of the resonator can eventually induce lasing, such that both phenomena could be observed in the same system at different pumping rates, in principle.

In the weak-coupling regime, the concept of cooperativity has been implicitly used in the literature by adiabatically eliminating the cavity mode in the theoretical description, as described, e.g., in Ref. [6]. However, even in this particular case there was no precise definition for measuring such a quantity. In this paper, we propose an operational measure to quantify the cooperativity of a few quantum emitters coupled to the same single-mode resonator. We show that it works well irrespective of the operating regime of the system (weak or strong coupling, good or bad cavity, etc.). In that sense, it is also universal. We also address the spectral and the statistical properties of the emitted radiation, supporting our analysis with the cooperativity measure.

Specifically, we analyze an ensemble of two-level emitters and study their cooperativity with respect to experimentally addressable parameters. We show that the cooperativity assumes negative values at subradiance and positive values at super-radiance, which clearly indicates the change of regime. As the cavity quality factor is increased, we observe a crossover to a lasing regime, where the cooperativity shows distinctive signatures below and above threshold that allow identification of the nonlasing-lasing crossover. In the good-cavity regime, our measure can reasonably be used to define the lasing threshold (usually not well defined for lasers of few emitters) as the pump rate increases, and the cooperativity changes from negative to non-negative values. Furthermore, we show that lasing is manifested in a wide range of positive cooperativity, showing that lasing and super-radiance are distinct phenomena that can coexist: when each emitter is strongly coupled to the resonator mode, a cooperative lasing regime manifests itself by a delayed quenching of the laser at strong incoherent pumping. Finally, we analyze the robustness of cooperativity to experimental imperfections, such as inhomogeneous broadening and dephasing. From an experimental point of view, systems that might realize the present model include small assemblies of artificial atoms, such as semiconductor quantum dots in microcavities [8,9], superconducting qubits coupled to microstrip line resonators [10], or defects in solid state cavities [11].

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we define the Hamiltonian and master equation we have used, and discuss the numerical techniques used to solve the problem. In Sec. III we introduce a definition of the cooperative fraction that is able to discriminate between the various regimes of the model. In Sec. IV we investigate the signatures of the super-radiance–lasing crossover as a function of the incoherent pumping rate, while its robustness to external parameters is discussed in Sec. V, with an emphasis on the role of the cooperativity as a valuable measurable quantity.
The model describing this system is the well-known Tavis-Cummings Hamiltonian [12], which is written in a frame rotating at the cavity frequency as

$$H = \sum_{i} \left[ \delta_{i} \sigma_{i}^{\dagger} \sigma_{i} + g \left( \sigma_{i}^{\dagger} a + a^{\dagger} \sigma_{i} \right) \right],$$  

with $\sigma_{i}$ being the lowering operator for the $i$th emitter, $a$ the annihilation operator for the cavity mode, $\delta_{i}$ the detuning of the $i$th atom from the cavity resonance, and $g$ the light-matter coupling constant depending on the two-level system oscillator strength [13]. The incoherent processes in the system, namely, cavity losses, incoherent pumping of the emitters, and their pure dephasing are described within a Markovian approximation and Lindblad dynamics, with the Liouville—von Neumann equation of motion being written as (see, e.g., [14] for a rigorous derivation)

$$\dot{\rho} = \mathcal{L}(\rho) = -i[H,\rho] + kD_{\delta}(\rho) + \sum_{i} \left[ PD_{\sigma_{i}}(\rho) + \gamma D_{\zeta_{i}}(\rho) \right],$$

with $k$ being the rate of photon leakage from the cavity, $P$ the incoherent pumping rate, $\gamma$ the pure dephasing rate, and $\zeta_{i} = \sigma_{i}^{\dagger} \sigma_{i}$. The Lindblad expression for an arbitrary operator $x$ is given by

$$D_{x}(\rho) = -\frac{1}{2} [x^\dagger \rho + \rho x^\dagger + \{x, \rho\}].$$

In the following we focus on the stationary properties of the system and numerically compute the steady-state values of the cavity population and atomic inversion, respectively. In addition, we calculate the second-order coherence function at zero time delay of the cavity field, defined as [14]

$$g^{2}(0) = \frac{\langle a^{\dagger} a^{\dagger} a a \rangle}{\langle a^{\dagger} a \rangle^2},$$

and the cavity emission spectrum [14]

$$S(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lim_{\tau \to \infty} \langle a^{\dagger}(t + \tau) a(t) \rangle e^{i\omega \tau} dt,$$

which is the Fourier transform of the first-order correlation function. The latter can be calculated for the stationary state as $\langle a^{\dagger}(t) a(0) \rangle = \text{tr}[a^{\dagger} e^{iHt} \rho_s]$, with $\rho_s$ being the steady-state density matrix of the system.

The determination of the asymptotic state can be numerically done by standard sparse-matrix diagonalization of the total Lindblad operator, such that $\mathcal{L} \rho_s = 0 \rho_s$. In this work, we used a shift-and-invert Arnoldi method, which is coded in the ARPACK library that is built in the MATLAB environment [15]. The time evolution needed to compute the correlation function is done with the Arnoldi method, which is the optimization of the matrix exponential in the Krylov subspace [16]. A brief description of the method is as follows. One needs to compute propagations of the form $\rho(t + \Delta t) = e^{\mathcal{L} \Delta t} \rho(t)$, with the major difficulty being the calculation of the matrix exponential. This is done in the Krylov subspace, which is the subspace generated by the iterative application of the Lindblad matrix $\mathcal{K}_{m}[\mathcal{L}, \rho(t)] = \text{span}[\rho(t), \mathcal{L} \rho(t), \mathcal{L}^{2} \rho(t), \ldots, \mathcal{L}^{m-1} \rho(t)]$. (6)

This basis for the Krylov space can be orthonormalized. In fact, the subspace can be constructed in orthonormal form by the modified Gram-Schmidt method. We denote by $V$ the matrix whose columns are the orthonormal basis vectors of the Krylov space. For the calculations shown in the present work, we have always kept 20 Krylov vectors. Thus, the huge numerical problem can be reduced to a small space by projecting the Lindblad into an upper Hessenberg form, $V^\dagger LV = \mathcal{H}$. The eigenvalues of the Hessemberg matrix are Ritz approximate eigenvalues of $\mathcal{L}$, such that $\mathcal{H} = UDU^{-1}$. Finally, we have the solution (explicitly written in the most effective order of multiplication)

$$\rho(t + \Delta t) = V[U^{D_{\Delta t} U^{-1}}][V^\dagger \rho(t)].$$

III. A MEASURE OF COOPERATIVITY

To quantify the cooperativity of the ensemble of emitters coupled to the same cavity mode, we compare the two situations that are schematically pictured in Fig. 1. We pump the $N$ quantum emitters at the same rate $P$. The emitters are either coupled to the same cavity mode [Fig. 1(a)], or each of them is coupled to its own resonator [Fig. 1(b)]. The output in the photonic channel, which is proportional to the emitted radiation in each case, is compared for the two situations above. The first situation gives rise to an output field (in units of $N$), that is $n(N, \{b_{i}\}, \gamma) = \langle a^{\dagger} a \rangle$. In the second case, we measure the sum of the outputs from each cavity, where each one contains a single emitter, which is written as $n(1, \delta_{i}, \gamma) = \langle a_{i}^{\dagger} a_{i} \rangle$. For a given set of initial conditions, such as pump and dissipation rates, atom-cavity couplings, etc., the system behavior is said to be “cooperative” when the two measurements differ, the...
difference between them giving direct access to the field that is created or annihilated by cooperativity. Then, a cooperativity parameter or cooperative fraction can be defined as

\[ C_f = \frac{n(N,\{\delta_i\},\gamma) - \sum_i n(1,\delta_i,\gamma)}{n(N,\{\delta_i\},\gamma)}. \]

By construction, this parameter is positive when cooperativity is constructive, while it necessarily assumes negative values for destructive cooperativity. It is worth stressing here that the absolute value of \( C_f \) as defined in the last equation is not bounded, i.e., it could be arbitrarily large if \( \sum_i n(1,\delta_i,\gamma) \) is arbitrarily large. However, this will never be the case in situations one is usually concerned with, in particular the ones treated in the present work. The cooperative fraction tends to the limiting value of 1 for maximum constructive cooperativity, and it is ultimately bounded at negative values by the sum of the single emitters’ output for maximum destructive cooperativity.

As a first step, we apply our definition of cooperativity to the bad-cavity regime of the Tavis-Cummings model, where subradiant and super-radiant regimes have already been theoretically characterized [6,7]. We set the number of emitters to five and the coupling \( g = 0.1k \), under which conditions the adiabatic elimination of the cavity mode is appropriate [17]; in this regime, \( a \) is proportional to the global atomic mode, defined by the collective dipole operator \( J = \sum_i \sigma_i \). Cooperativity is usually evidenced by comparing the total atomic population inversion \( Z = \sum_i (\sigma_i^+ \sigma_i^-) \) to the total atomic dipole \( n_J = \langle J \rangle \) [17]: indeed, it directly compares the field emitted by the atomic ensemble to the field that would be emitted by each two-level system in independent reservoirs. Figure 2(a) shows the evolution of these quantities as a function of pumping rate. As already discussed in Refs. [6,7], a subradiant behavior is manifested at low pumping \( P < \Gamma \), where \( \Gamma = 4g^2/k \) is the relaxation rate of a single emitter. It is due to the efficient optical pumping of the atomic ensemble into its dark states, and gives rise to the emission of highly bunched light [5,7]. On the other hand, a super-radiant regime is reached when \( \Gamma < P < N\Gamma \), because of the preferential population of symmetrical Dicke states showing enhanced coupling to the electromagnetic field. Finally, when \( P > N\Gamma \), the atomic population is totally inverted, such that each emitter behaves independently from the others [7]. Thus, super-radiance (subradiance) is characterized by \( n_J > Z \) (\( n_J < Z \)).

In Fig. 2(b) we plot the cooperative fraction, which is negative in the subradiant regime, positive in the super-radiant one, and goes back to 0 at high pumping rates, as expected. In the same figure, we also plot the quantity \( (n_J - Z)/n_J \), which provides an intuitive measure of cooperativity in the bad-cavity regime, based on the analyses already performed in the literature and described above. As can be seen, the parameter defined in the present work agrees qualitatively well with former studies, and can be used as a fair marker to describe the transition from the subradiant to the super-radiant regime.

As recently demonstrated, a huge ensemble of atoms coupled to a bad cavity can produce extremely coherent light, a phenomenon called “steady-state super-radiant lasing” [18]. In this situation the coherence is to be attributed to the phase locking of the atomic dipoles, and not to the stimulated emission of one given mode of a high-quality-factor resonator. This result clearly shows the symmetry between steady-state super-radiance and stimulated emission, both physical processes inducing an enhancement of the absorption properties of a medium because of bosonic amplification. Nevertheless, in the bad-cavity regime, a lasing-like behavior can take place only if the number of emitters is large enough, such that the matter field can be highly excited. This is not the case in the present few-emitters situation. This appears in Figs. 2(c) and 2(d) where the spectrum and second-order coherence function of the cavity field have been plotted. As can be seen, the second-order coherence does not lock to 1, and increasing the pump only broadens the spectrum. Hence in the case under study, the lasing character can come only from stimulated emission, which requires a high-quality-factor resonator. This is investigated in the following section.

IV. SUPER-RADIANCE–LASING CROSSOVER

In this section we consider a regime of parameters in which lasing can take place, focusing our analysis on the respective contributions of stimulated emission and super-radiance to positive cooperativity. We start from the case of \( N = 2 \), and we progressively increase the light-matter coupling, such that the strong-coupling regime is eventually reached for each individual atom-cavity system. We have plotted in Figs. 3(a), 3(c), and 3(d) the cavity population, the second-order coherence function of the cavity field, and the total atomic inversion as functions of the pumping rate, for \( g \) ranging from \( k/100 \) to \( 10k \). In addition to these quantities, which provide the usual signatures of lasing, we have also plotted the cooperativity fraction that we have defined [Fig. 3(b)]. One can mainly distinguish three regimes for cooperativity, corresponding to the cooperative fraction being respectively negative, positive, and null. Negative cooperativity still characterizes a subradiant regime, associated with the emission of a bunched light field.

In the case of positive cooperativity, the analysis performed in the bad-cavity regime is valid as long as \( g \leq k \). On the contrary, when \( g > k \), two different behaviors emerge, as clearly appears in the plots of Fig. 3. As a first step, the cooperative parameter \( C_f \) becomes positive and remains quite close to 0. The steady-state cavity population increases...
drastically and the atomic inversion $Z$ is clamped to 1. These are the usual signatures of the lasing regime, confirming that the stimulated emission takes place and that the nonlinear regime is reached. Simultaneously, a plateau for $g^2(0) \approx 1$ develops. Indeed, the emission of a Poissonian field induces the locking of the second-order coherence to 1 [19]. The crossover from the nonlasing to the lasing regime is captured by $C_f$. In particular, the switch from negative to positive provides an additional way to define the lasing threshold in the few-emitters case.

In a second step, as we further increase the pump, the cooperativity increases significantly while the system is deeply in the lasing regime. In particular, at the maximum value of cooperativity we have all the typical lasing signatures. In this situation indeed, the medium consisting of a few emitters is still lasing $n(N,\{\delta\},\gamma) > 0$, while each single-emitter laser has quenched $n(1,\delta,\gamma) \to 0$. Quenching takes place when the pumping power starts to overcome the effective light-matter coupling strength [20]. This strength scaling like the number of emitters (see the next section), the few-emitters laser quenches for higher pumping power than the individual ones: this is a clear signature of cooperativity, which is captured by the parameter $C_f$ defined in this study. This situation can be described in terms of a strongly cooperative laser, pointing towards the coexistence of lasing and super-radiant characteristics.

Finally the quenching regime appears at high pumping rates. In fact, for larger values of the pump the quenching of the laser begins, and the cooperativity starts decreasing back to zero. Under such conditions, power broadening spectrally decouples the lasing medium from the cavity mode, leading to a decrease of the cavity-mode population and switching to a thermal statistics for the emitted field, i.e., $g^2(0) \to 2$. With respect to the cooperativity measure, quenching is clearly manifested by the simultaneous condition $C_f \to 0$.

The signatures of the crossover from plain super-radiance to lasing should also appear in the spectral properties of the radiation emitted through the cavity mode. To confirm this behavior, the results for the calculated spectra are shown in Fig. 4 for a larger number of emitters (4). The lasing crossover is clearly manifested by a visible narrowing of the emission spectrum in the lasing region. For $g = 0.5k$, at low pumping rates the spectrum is given by the Jaynes-Cummings doublet of each atom-cavity system, under which conditions the lasing narrowing is strikingly evident.

V. INFLUENCE OF EXPERIMENTAL PARAMETERS

In this section we focus on the evolution of the cooperativity fraction with respect to the parameters of the ensemble of emitters. In particular, we investigate the influence of the number of emitters, and the robustness of the cooperativity with respect to dephasing and inhomogeneous broadening.

A. Cooperativity and number of emitters

The analysis of the emission properties of a mesoscopic number of emitters in a cavity by increasing the number one by one is a fruitful bottom-up approach, which was already taken in [7] to investigate the statistical properties of the emitted radiation, and paves the way to a description of the quantum-classical boundary. The results for the system parameters in the bad-cavity regime are shown in Fig. 5. The maximum of the cavity population plotted in Fig. 5(a) increases drastically with the number of emitters. A similar behavior was found in [6], where the saturation value has been shown to evolve as $N^2$; however, we point out that in the limit of few emitters we find...
a weaker increase with $N$. In Fig. 5(b), we can appreciate the corresponding evolution of the cooperativity fraction. In the subradiant regime, $C_f$ decreases with the number of emitters: this can be interpreted by noting that the low-excitation Dicke states are mainly populated on average, for which the decay rate typically scales as $N$. As a consequence, the larger $N$, the faster the relaxation, which leads to a lower excitation of the matter field at equal pumping rate and fully justifies the behavior of $C_f$. As expected, $C_f$ switches from negative to positive values for $P = \Gamma$, which does not depend on the number of emitters. On the contrary, and as it also appears in the figure, the system returns to an indendependentlike behavior when $P = N\Gamma$, which occurs at larger and larger pumping rates on increasing $N$.

In the same spirit as above, we reconsider now these properties in the case where the resonator has a good quality factor, so that each emitter is individually strongly coupled to the cavity mode ($g = 5k$). We have investigated the signatures of lasing for a medium consisting of a few identical quantum emitters, ranging from one to three. The usual quantities, namely, the cavity population $n$, the second-order correlation at zero time delay, $g^2(0)$, and the population inversion (normalized to the number of emitters, $Z/N$) are plotted on the left side of Fig. 6. As previously, the regimes of subradiance, super-radiance or lasing, and quenching can be clearly identified. Subradiance is manifested in the low-pumping regime, by a negative cooperativity fraction and oscillations of the second-order coherence function between even and odd numbers of emitters. These oscillations have been thoroughly analyzed and already discussed in Ref. [7], essentially related to differences in the Hilbert-space geometry of Dicke states participating in the driven-dissipative quantum dynamics, and depend on the parity of $N$. In particular, these oscillations are the signature of the energy spreading of the Dicke eigenstates, which depends on the parity of the number of atoms [7]. Another signature is the excitation of the matter field even in the low-pumping case, as already mentioned: such an effect reflects the optical pumping in the dark states [6] and clearly appears in the plot of the population inversion. It can also be seen that the extension of the lasing regime as a function of the pumping strength is larger the larger is $N$, as saturation and quenching take place at larger pumping rates. For completeness, we have also reported the results for the single-emitter case, which has been thoroughly investigated in the literature [20–25]. Again, both effects can be accounted for by the increase of the effective light-matter coupling, which scales as $\sqrt{N}$. On the right-hand side of Fig. 6, we plot the cooperative fraction, the cavity population per emitter, $n/N$, and the cavity population per excited emitter, which is defined as $n/Z$. The influence of $N$ on $C_f$ is quite straightforward to analyze: increasing $N$ increases the magnitude of the cooperativity fraction. In the subradiant regime, $C_f$ is more negative, as in the bad-cavity case. In the super-radiant regime, the maximum $C_f$ occurs at larger pumping, and it reaches larger values: this corresponds to the saturation and quenching of $N$ cooperative emitters occurring at larger pump power as compared to the single-atom laser. The maximum cooperativity is reached in this very region where the cooperative medium is still lasing, while the single-emitter medium has quenched. Switching from negative to positive cooperativity does not depend on $N$, as in the low-$Q$ resonator case. The same evolution can be seen in the parameter $n/N$.

Finally, we have studied the quantity $n/Z$ [see Fig. 6(f)], which is the typical absorption per emitter. Its meaning in the single-atom case is clear: in the spontaneous emission regime, the atomic inversion and the cavity population scale like the pump power; hence the ratio $n/Z$ is constant and locked at the spontaneous emission rate. When the nonlinear regime is reached, the population clamps while the cavity population is still increasing. Hence the parameter $n/Z$ increases linearly with the pump power because of stimulated emission, a feature that clearly appears in the plot. Such behavior would also appear for a standard lasing medium made of distinguishable atoms. On the contrary, for the microlaser investigated here, the switching from linear to nonlinear behavior is blurred out as soon as the medium involves more than a single emitter. As can be seen in the plot, the average absorption per emitter continuously increases with respect to the pump power. Indeed, here the increase in the absorption is the result of two contributions: before the lasing threshold, it is due to the displacement of the equilibrium in the Dicke-state phase space toward higher excitation states characterized by higher coupling to the light field (steady-state super-radiance). When lasing takes place, the absorption increase comes from stimulated emission. This behavior is a major difference between standard lasing media made of distinguishable atoms and the specific medium investigated here. At the highest powers the dropoff in $n/Z$ is attributed to quenching, which describes the decrease in the absorption of the atomic medium when the pump power.
broadening. This effect is due to the broadening of the atomic emission line at high excitation power [20].

B. Cooperativity vs dephasing or inhomogeneous broadening

So far we have assumed the quantum emitters to be identical, on resonance with the cavity mode, and nondephased. Now we relax this latter constraint and analyze how detuning (i.e., inhomogeneous broadening) and dephasing affect the cooperativity. Both of these effects are relevant in solid-state implementations of the model studied in this work, such as in a quantum-dot laser [8]. We consider a system of two emitters equally detuned from the single-mode cavity frequency, and compute their cooperativity as a function of detuning for different regimes of the pumping rate. The results are plotted in Fig. 7(a). As it appears in the plot, increasing the detuning may actually increase the cooperativity, even though the total atom-cavity coupling decreases. This increase is due to the fact that the cavity population in the single emitter is more sensitive to detuning. Thus, while the single emitters are being decoupled from their cavities the cooperative emitters sustain the cavity population. For low-pumping regimes the system may be driven from the subradiant to the super-radiant regime. We refer to this positive cooperative regime as super-radiant lasing, such as spectral narrowing (not shown here). For larger values of the pumping rate, the system behaves as a regular, on resonance with the cavity mode, and nondephased. We also point out that detuning the emitters asymmetrically (not shown here) with respect to the cavity frequency, or unequally detuning them, usually leads to less cooperativity as compared to the case in which the emitters are symmetrically detuned around the cavity-mode frequency. This sensitivity is due to the fact that the resonator couples to the symmetric “brilliant” state of the two emitters, which remains identical if the two emitters are detuned symmetrically with respect to the mode.

VI. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have introduced an operational quantity to measure the degree of cooperativity in the emission characteristics of a system of few incoherently pumped emitters coupled to a single-mode cavity. It is defined by comparing the photon emission of an ensemble of emitters coupled to the same cavity mode with the overall emission of the same emitters, each one individually coupled to its own resonator mode. We have shown how such a quantity is able to quantitatively describe the crossover between steady-state subradiance, super-radiance, and lasing. We have analyzed the effects of inhomogeneous broadening and pure dephasing on cooperativity, which might be relevant in solid-state implementations of this model. We have shown that in the good-cavity regime, a few indistinguishable two-level systems provide a different type of lasing medium as compared to an ensemble of distinguishable emitters. These results are quite promising for emerging experiments in mesoscopic quantum optics, where a bottom-up approach allows the possibility of sequentially adding an increasing number of emitters coupled to the same cavity mode.
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